

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	10/537,160	USAMI ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b> David H. Chu	<b>Art Unit</b> 2628

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application:** Pending

(1) David H. Chu. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Roberts Roy. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 17 October 2006
**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:**  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

**Part I.**
**Rejection(s) discussed:**

N/A

**Claims discussed:**

1, 4 and 8

**Prior art documents discussed:**


N/A

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*The examiner proposed an examiners amendment by amending claims 1 and 8 to overcome a 101 issue by adding a display step, amending claim 4 to overcome a 112 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph issue, and amending claim 8*

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

---

(Assigned/Attorney's Representative Signature – if appropriate)